(13)

IN THE HONOURABLE CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION No. 1159 / 2001.

PETITIONER: Sanand Das Diwan S/o Late Firtu Das Diwan, aged about 34 years, Profession: Journalist, R/o Near Rani Mahal, Rani road, Purani Basti, Korba, P.S., P.O., Tahsil & Dist: Korba, Chhattisgarh.

Versus

RESPONDENTS:
01. Election commission of India, Govt. of India, Nirvachan Sadan, New Delhi.
02. Chief Election Authority, State of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
03. Commissioner, Bilaspur Division, Mungeli Naka, Bilaspur, Dist: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.
04. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Korba (earlier Special Area Development Authority, Korba), Saket Bhawan, ITI Chowk, Korba, Tah & Dist: Korba, Chhattisgarh.
05. Banwari Lal Agrawal S/o Shridhar Agrawal, aged about 50 years, R/o Durpa Road, Korba, Tahsil & District: Korba, Chhattisgarh. [Ex-Chairman, Special Area Development Authority, Korba. Presently M.L.A. (Katghora assembly seat) & Deputy Speaker, State Legislative Assembly, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

P. R. No. 1169/01
Presented by Shri ... Date 9.7.01
dated 9.7.01

Writ Petition under article 226 of the constitution of India.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(30)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No.1159/2001

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	4/10/2001	

Heard Shri Rajkamal Singh, learned counsel for the petitionerand Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, learned PL for the respondent/State.

The grievance of the writ petitioner was earlier highlighted in a representation submitted before the Chief Election Authority of the State of Chhattisgarh on 10/5/2001. It is also stated that another representation also has been filed before the Commissioner, Bilaspur dated 4/2/2001. These two representations are still pending.

Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, learned PL forthe respondent/State submits that these are not the proper authority to examine the grievance inasmuch as the authority is vested under the Election Commission of India. It appears, rightly or wrongly that since the petitioner had made some grievance taken before the respondent, the same ought to have been examined and disposed of.

Shri A.S. Gaharwar, learned Sr.Central Government Standing Counsel for Union of India submits that copy has not been supplied to him and he makes submission that some-time may be allowed to him to seek instructions. I am of the view that instead of keeping this file before this Court, I would

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

rather direct the Authorities before whom some representations are pending to examine and dispose of the same on merit.

Accordingly this petition is disposedof with the direction that respondent no.2 shall examine and dispose of the representation (Annexure P/3) dated 10/5/2001 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order so also respondent no.3 shall examine and dispose of the representation(Annexure P/4 dated 4/2/2001 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order.

Annexure P/4 has been subsequently submitted by the writ petitioner and the same has been taken on record. The writ petitioner shall supply complete copies of the the petition to the respective authorities within a week from today.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Chief Justice